Short-time evolution in the adaptive immune system
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We exploit a simple model to numerically and analytically investigate the effect of enforcing a time constraint for achieving a system-wide goal during an evolutionary dynamics. This situation is relevant to finding antibody specificities in the adaptive immune response as well as to artificial situations in which an evolutionary dynamics is used to generate a desired capability in a limited number of generations. When the likelihood of finding the target phenotype is low, we find that the optimal mutation rate can exceed the error threshold, in contrast to conventional evolutionary dynamics. We also show how a logarithmic correction to the usual inverse scaling of population size with mutation rate arises. Implications for natural and artificial evolutionary situations are discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Normally in population genetics, a novel mutation becomes ubiquitous in a population before new mutations occur at the same locus—this is the process of fixation. This reflects the time length of the development of new phenotypes, which is usually very long due to the fact that the mutation rate is small compared to the rate at which the new mutation expands in the population. There are examples of systems where the mutation rate is large, leading to evolutionary dynamics without fixation.

Quasispecies theory is used to model these systems [1–3]. Furthermore, systems are often exposed to short-time-scale fluctuations due to changing environments, which can change the evolutionary dynamics [4]. We investigate the case where the effect of the short-time-scale influence is absolute. That is, rather than a system exposed to a time-varying stress (to which the system may respond in many different ways), we ask what happens when an evolution has a fixed time limit, after which the population as a whole is considered to have failed.

This situation is seen in the adaptive immune system. During the immune response, the discovery of new antibody specificities (phenotypes) and the dominance of high-affinity clones (fixation) occur on similar timescales of tens of generations by way of somatic hypermutation [5,6]. The failure to discover responding antibodies within a certain time interval can lead to the death of the host.

Another example where this applies is in artificial genetic algorithms. Directed evolution is used for industrial applications: protein design [7], optimized wing aerodynamics [8], the design of support structures [9,10], and many other systems. In such applications, the evolutionary time scale is compressed as much as possible toward the goal of developing a specific functionality, in which case beneficial mutations may not have time to become fixed. Similarly, in artificial systems such as applied genetic algorithms, it is desirable to make the simulation converge upon a good solution as quickly as possible and as such one can utilize any evolutionary parameters that yield at least one optimal instance, even if the population of its descendants would be unstable under continued mutation.

In all of these cases, only a single successful individual must be discovered by the end of the adaptive phase of the process and it can then be amplified after reduction of the mutation factor (as in the immune system) or by the experimenter extracting and analyzing the successful case. This objective contrasts with that for competing organisms, in which population stability is often more important than the discovery of rare beneficial mutations. We thus expect the optimal evolutionary dynamic to maximize the system-wide fitness rather than be tuned for the expansion of individuals and their descendants. This represents a form of altruism [11], in that individual members sacrifice their own expansion, e.g., by having harmfully high mutation rates, to help achieve the system-wide goal. In the present study, we develop a model to explore these evolutionary dynamics and understand how best phenotypes can be generated in short times.

To ground our model in reality, we cast it in terms of the adaptive immune system. The humoral immune response centers on activated production of antibodies by B lymphocytes, each with some affinity to the antigen. The initial generation of activated B cells comes from a pool of naive cells (or clones), each with particular rearrangements of the heavy- and light-chain variable regions that determine the antibody specificity. B cells with antibodies with a high affinity for the antigen are most strongly activated and undergo an increase in both their replication rate and their mutation rate. This leads to an evolutionary dynamic in which higher affinity subpopulations of B cells grow more rapidly (as they more frequently bind to the antigen) and eventually dominate the system. The increased mutation rate (compared to resting B cells) also allows for novel sequences to be discovered, leading to a form of evolution in which new B-cell specificities are developed on the same time scale as their population dynamics.

Evolutionary aspects of the adaptive immune system have been extensively modeled in prior work. Abstract models of affinity maturation have been used to gauge the rate of response to antigens [12]. These models have accurately predicted qualitative details of the immune response and have hinted at the importance of secondary mechanisms for achieving quantitative agreement with experimental observations [13,14]. Furthermore, this sort of modeling has been used to understand how the process of adaptation leads to the generation of memory and how hyperspecificity can lead to a poor secondary response (original antigenic sin) [15,16]. Our focus is less on a quantitative replication of the course of a particular infection...
as it is to understand what generic changes to the evolutionary dynamics can emerge due to the short-time scales and high mutation rates.

To this end, we exploit a simple representation of B-cell specificity to model the evolutionary dynamics of the primary immune response. Given that we consider evolutionary pressure to be on the host rather than directly on the components of the adaptive immune system, we expect that we can capture the observed B-cell hypermutation rate by optimizing the system-wide success rate. We model the host-scale success or failure by considering a time limit on the evolutionary dynamics \( T \), at which point the system has succeeded at maturation to a particular antigen to a certain degree, and this defines a system-wide fitness.

We use the simulations to estimate the dependence of the probability of success on the mutation rate and then we show that these curves can be described analytically in terms of a uniform volumetric search. In this picture, the scale of the search volume is determined by mutation rate and time. The unique sequences that are produced by the dynamics randomly cover this search space and we evaluate the probability that at least one of those sequences lies within the target region. To predict the success rate using this picture we need to know the total number of unique sequences produced by the end of the evolutionary interval. To this end, we compute the dependence of the final population size on the mutation rate and, in turn, the theoretical success rate curves. Remarkably, despite the strong selection that we impose on antibody affinity in the simulations (which would suggest that the actual set of search paths should be highly relevant), the volumetric search picture successfully captures the salient aspects of the dependence of the success rate on mutation rate and time limit \( T \).

From the simulations, we also compute the optimal mutation rate. In conventional evolutionary dynamics the mutation rate is normally limited by the error threshold [17,18]:

\[
\mu \leq \log(\sigma)/L,
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the selection strength and \( L \) is the genome length. In the case where one is considering the distinction between a fitness peak and a survivable plateau (that is, the plateau corresponds to a state with no lethal mutations), this threshold determines the point at which selection fails to maintain information about the peak. When distinguishing between a peak and a lethal valley, the threshold no longer applies [1], as any offspring generated in the lethal valley simply die, and so the population does not forget the peak, but does risk extinction. If one is attempting to find higher fitness peaks from within a fitness valley, the error threshold does apply and normally limits the optimal mutation rate of the system.

The error threshold is the mutation rate over long times at which a system loses the ability to maintain a fitness maximum. If the system has a time-variable mutation rate [19] (that is, it shuts down mutation after \( T \) has been reached and then allows the system to grow) then the error threshold of the high-mutation period need not actually constrain the optimal mutation rate in all cases. Basically, a short-duration spike of the mutation rate followed by a period of low mutation can have an average rate of mutation below the error threshold, even though instantaneously the mutation rate crosses the error threshold.

However, if a large portion of the population dies due to failed mutations, then fewer sequences can be explored during the time available. It is the interplay between these two effects that constrains the evolutionary dynamics. We find that the instantaneous optimal rate can be above the error threshold, but only in those cases where there is a low chance of success overall. Implications of the results for the immune system and artificial evolutionary situations are discussed.

II. METHODS

We use a string-based model of antibody-epitope affinity (similar to that used in Ref. [20]) to understand the consequences of fast macroevolution. In such models, each molecule (antibody or epitope) is represented by a sequence of values. Matching values at corresponding points in the antibody sequence and the epitope sequence increase affinity, while unlike pairs decrease affinity. In these models, the affinity is then some function of the Hamming distance between the two sequences: the total number of matches. Here we use a base-4 representation.

Our simulations consist of a set of B cells, each of which produces a specific antibody. They evolve via selection on the initial population and by mutation to maximize affinity with one of a number of target epitopes \( N_e \) of the invading virus. The target epitopes and the antibodies produced by the B cells are both represented by a string of bases of length \( L \). The affinity between an antibody and a set of epitopes is the maximum of the affinity \( A \) between the antibody and each of the epitopes present; it is defined as the number of matches between the antibody string \( x^k \) and the epitope string \( y^j \):

\[
A_k = \max_i d_i,
\]

where

\[
\delta_{x^i\neq y^j} = \sum_j \delta_{x^i \neq y^j}
\]

is the Hamming distance between the antibody and epitope and \( \delta \) is the Kronecker delta function.

This representation gives rise to an additive fitness function. Recently, there has been interest in multiplicative fitness landscapes [21,22]. These are fitness landscapes in which the factors given by each feature of the organism to control its replicative success rate are multiplied rather than added. This has the consequence that the dominant factor to the replication rate of the organism is its worst feature and so the evolutionary process emphasizes removing negative aspects before developing new positive aspects. One consequence of this type of landscape is that specific mutations can give rise to lethality regardless of whether an individual has other, positive traits. This could be relevant to the immune system in that mutations that dramatically decreased the surface expression of the receptor regardless of its affinity for antigen could lead to the death of a clone. As discussed below, the growth rate depends exponentially on the affinity and we work primarily in the infinite selection strength limit. In this limit, the difference in replication rate between the most fit clonal line and the second most fit clonal line becomes exponentially large, in effect killing (or at least neutralizing) all mutations that do
not at least maintain the current fitness. Therefore, we expect
that in this limit there is no strong dependence on the overall
structure of the fitness landscape (multiplicative or additive),
as all mutations will either create a new high-fitness cell
line, do nothing, or neutralize the B cell with respect to
replication.

The landscape that we use does not have interdependence
between bases—it is a fairly easy problem. More convoluted
landscapes occur biologically, in which there is epistasis and
more general codependence between mutations. For much of
this work we consider the infinite selection strength limit, in
which epistasis that is insufficient to create a fitness barrier is
equivalent to the case of no epistasis. In general however,
there can be situations where gene interactions make it
necessary to go uphill first in order to find the global optimum.
These may include “glassy” problems in which frustration is
possible, requiring large-scale sequence changes in order to
escape a local optimum [4]. In our case, our model concerns
the approach to a local minimum of the immune space,
as the large population of naive B cells provides redundancy
in the form of covering the immune space. As such, we expect
the naive B cells to be initialized in the basins of attraction of
the target minima.

A cell with affinity $A_k$ establishes a population that grows
as $(1 + r_k)^t$ (here $t$ is the generation number, not the physical
time). The replication rate of this set of cells is

$$r_k = C \exp(\gamma A_k),$$

with $C$ a population-wide normalizing factor and $\gamma$ indicating
the strength of selection; $C$ is chosen so that the total
replication rate of the fastest growing B cell in the population
is 2 to create a nondimensionalized time scale in terms of the
number of cell doublings. As a consequence of this rescaling
of the growth rate, the absolute time allotted for evolution
is not fixed. Our choice is reasonable in systems where the
resources needed for replication are limited. In that case, if
one organism is much more fit than the others, the result
will be that it will still have some fixed replication rate corresponding to resource saturation. Here the limiting factor is the availability of activation factors. B cells that identify
the antigen present it to helper T cells, which activate the
B cell increasing its replication rate. In a B-cell population
with varying specificities to the antigen, there is competition
between B cells for the antigen and for the helper T cells, both
of which could potentially limit the B-cell replication rate.

The normalization of the growth rate leads to an effective
interaction between otherwise independently growing cell
lines. This can lead to a form of clonal interference. If
two different mutations are discovered by the system in
different cell lines, then only one of those mutations is
likely to be further explored, specifically whichever gains a
second beneficial mutation first. Once that happens, the other
mutation, even if useful, will be frozen out. In effect, two
simultaneous but different beneficial mutations will interfere
with each other as they are competing for dominance within the
population.

When a cell replicates in this model, each base of its string
mutates with probability $m/L$, so that the global mutation
rate is $m$. With regard to the selection strength, we observe
little change in the numerical results when $\gamma > 1$ (see the
inset of Fig. 2), so we consider only the limiting behavior for
strong ($\gamma \gg 1$) and weak ($\gamma \approx 0$) selection. While clones of
varying affinities will clearly contribute to an actual immune
response, we exploit the insensitivity to the value of $\gamma$ in
deriving our analytical results and work in the infinite-selection
strength limit ($\gamma \to \infty$) as it is more tractable than the
finite-selection strength case. We can estimate a biologically
reasonable value of $\gamma$ using the results of Ref. [23], in which
an amplification factor of 125 in 10 generations was reported
(corresponding to 15625 in 20 generations). Using the inset of
Fig. 2, this corresponds to approximately $\gamma = 0.7$, which
is at the border of the strong-selection and weak-selection
limits.

We also make the choice to consider only relative cell
growth factors rather than the influence of cell death. During
a primary immune response, cell replication rates are enhanced
above the normal level. While cell death does occur, much of
its evolutionary effect can be expressed in a modification of
the growth rate of the different cell populations. As such,
we expect to observe a series of exponentially growing
subpopulations of B cells. We also assume that the population
can continue to grow exponentially without limit for the
duration of the response. Because of this, we can take the entire
immune response consisting of the responses to many epitopes
across many germinal centers and consider the characteristic
dynamics of the response of a single cell line to a single
epitope. Within the context of our model, these populations
would interact only by competing for activation, but this
would not happen strongly between germinal centers and so
we can consider the dynamics of a single germinal center’s
subpopulation that reacts to a single epitope independently
from the others. Each separate epitope and each separate
germinal center are additional chances to produce immunity.
We may then compute the combined probability of finding a
successful sequence across the whole set of initial conditions.
If the probability of success for evolution around a single
epitope is $p$, then the probability of success for evolution
around any of $N_e$ epitopes is $1 - (1 - p)^{N_e}$.

We define success here as whether or not a sequence with
sufficient affinity is discovered after a fixed amount of time,
where the threshold for sufficient affinity can be varied as a
model parameter. One could argue that average affinity is a
better indicator of the strength of response to the infection, but
once a high-affinity cell has been discovered it will be strongly
activated and clonal amplification will take place. There is no
need to actually simulate this process as it is simply a growth
curve with the growth rate of that high-affinity clone. To correct
for this, we take into account how long it would take for clonal
amplification to expand a single cell into a reasonable germinal
center B-cell population of around 1000 cells [23]. This means
that the time intervals over which we explicitly simulate are
shorter than the total duration of the immune response; the $T$
values considered reflect this.

We initialize the system with a single cell at an initial
Hamming distance $d_0$ from one of the epitope sequences. We
then let it evolve for $T$ generations and check if at least one
cell with distance $d_t < d_0$ is present in the system. If such a
cell is found, then the system is considered to have succeeded
at adapting to the invader. If such a cell is not found, then
the system has failed to combat the infection. We repeat this experiment and average over 1000 trials to determine the average success rate. We vary $T$, $d_0$, and $D = d_0 - d_t$ to gauge the ability of the immune system to make some number of beneficial mutations in the period of hypermutation as a function of these parameters.

The parameters of the model $L$ and $T$ need to be chosen realistically for the immune system, as the results depend very strongly on both. A B cell has a variable region in its genome of about 660 bases due to VDJ recombination; within this variable region are hypervariable complementarity determining regions that determine specificity, comprising between 20% and 30% of the variable region [24]. Furthermore, mutation is not evenly distributed across the hypervariable regions. Certain motifs (RGYW/WRCY) tend to concentrate mutations [25] and lead to a reduction in the effective length of the dynamic component of the genome by a factor of up to 3/32 for a random sequence. Experimentally, half of the mutations that occur during hypermutation are associated with RGYW/WRCY motifs and there is strong codon bias favoring G and C mutations [26]. As such, the relevant length of our antibody strings is somewhere between $L = 12$ at minimum to $L = 200$ at maximum (assuming every site in the hypervariable region has a uniformly high mutation rate). We investigate $L = 30$ and 150 as representative relevant lengths.

A generalized timeline of infection suggests that the time from infection to the peak of the primary nonspecific immune response is from 3 to 14 days [27]. During this time, adaptive immunity must select for higher affinity B cells to become memory cells that participate in the secondary immune response. Activated B cells have a wide variation in division rates related to the mechanism of isotype switching, with the fastest dividing cells in the system dividing approximately twice a day [28]. As such, the adaptive immune system must develop a broad repertoire of mutated B cells during the initial period of from 6 to 28 generations, which may then be amplified to the point of clonal dominance during the remaining time that the infection is resident in the body. We investigate adaptation durations of $T = 10$, 15, 20, and 25 generations. A single run of this model is shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b).

III. RESULTS

In this section, we use our model to illustrate how fast macroevolution of the adaptive immune system differs from standard evolutionary dynamics. If we consider the final population size in the limit of infinite selection, in general we expect an inverse dependence on the mutation rate as organisms develop harmful mutations. In both fast macroevolution and standard dynamics, this corresponds to the fraction of offspring who are nonviable due to harmful mutation. However, due to the rapid discovery of beneficial mutations we expect that this inverse dependence should be tempered in the case of fast macroevolution. We predict the correction factor and compare with numerical experiments. We also predict how the success rate of adaptation toward a given target should scale with mutation rate, allowing us to determine the optimum mutation rate.

### A. Fixation time

In the usual picture of evolutionary dynamics, there is a separation between the time to develop new mutations and the time for mutations that are introduced to become present in every member of the system or to become extinct (fixation time). We first verify that the adaptive immune system is operating in a regime in which the development of beneficial mutations and the process of fixation have similar time scales. To this end, let us consider the introduction of a high-affinity clone into a population $P_0$ comprised of clones with a baseline level of affinity. We would like to compare the fixation time of this new clone with the rate of introduction of beneficial mutations. Fixation is based upon the extinction of either
the new line or the old line of cells, which is limited by the mortality rate. However, the time scale of the immune response is such that the mortality rate of B cells that are not of the high-affinity cell line will be much slower than the actual dynamics of interest. As such, rather than the time to fixation, we consider the time for the high-affinity clone to become the dominant contributor to the response (clonal dominance [29]); we take this time to be that at which the newly introduced clone has a population equal to the rest of the B-cell pool.

Let us consider affinity-driven selection on the growth rate. We can do this either by assuming a growth rate that increases with affinity or by assuming that there is some background death rate that is decreased by high affinity. In either event, we can discuss both of these scenarios in terms of the doubling time of the (surviving) population. We will mark time by the progress of the highest-affinity population for ease of computation: We define the doubling time of the highest-affinity population to be one generation. In reality, the rate of growth of the highest-affinity population may change in time and so to determine an exact mapping between generation number and physical time one needs to know exactly how the net growth rate depends on affinity. We perform all of our calculations in this generation time scheme so that we can determine what results are independent of the choice of model for the connection between affinity and growth rate. With such a model (see, e.g., Ref. [30]), all of our generation-based times can, in principle, be converted to physical times. In cases where we need to reference physical times, such as in the overall duration of our process, we use the average growth rate of the entire germinal center B-cell population to convert time scales as a first approximation.

In this notation, the high- and low-affinity populations expand in time as

\[ P_{h}(t) = 2^t \quad \text{and} \quad P_{l}(t) = P_{0}(1 + r_2)^t \]

with \(0 < r_2 < 1\), respectively, so that \( P_{h}(t) = P_{l}(t) \) at \( t = \log(P_{0})/\log(1 + r_2) \). If the new B-cell population is doubling every generation with respect to a fixed baseline population (corresponding to \( r_2 = 0 \)), then it takes a new subpopulation as long to overtake the existing population as it took to establish the original one. As such, the maximum time scale for fixation is of the order of the time scale of the immune response—around 20 or 30 generations. This corresponds to the maximum number of cells that could be produced from a single initial B cell over the course of the immune response. It has been observed that actual clonal dominance occurs somewhat faster than this in the immune system [31], suggesting that in the case of the immune response the baseline population is not holding constant but is actually decreasing. This difference is consistent with our choice to approximate the underlying death rate of cells as a modification to the replication rate.

We can compare this to the time scale for the discovery of beneficial mutations. Given that the rate of hypermutation in the immune system is approximately \(10^{-3}\) per base pair, corresponding to one mutation every two generations [32], let us consider the slowest scenario for the discovery of a beneficial mutation. For a long characteristic sequence length \( L = 200 \) in which there is only a single specific possible beneficial mutation to be found, we would need a population of 1200 descendants uniformly covering the space of possible mutations to have an \(O(1)\) probability of having found that mutation. This corresponds to a time scale of 17 generations starting from only a single cell (and fewer with an existing growing population), which is comparable to the fixation time scale.

B. Population

The final population of B cells constrains how many sequences can be explored in total during the period of affinity maturation. In the limit of zero selection strength, the final population of B cells would be \(2^T\), where \( T \) is the number of generations. In the infinite selection strength limit, however, only the current best subpopulation grows at all; the total population then becomes a sum of a set of subpopulations, each of which grew while that specificity was the best but stopped growing upon the emergence of a new beneficial mutation.

Figure 2 shows the final population as a function of mutation rate \( m \) for a system at time \( T = 20 \), starting from an initial distance in sequence space of \( d_0 = 10 \) and in the infinite selection strength limit \( \gamma = \infty \). Power-law behavior is observed for small \( m \) and the final population decreases monotonically as \( m \) increases. Conceptually this makes sense: As \( m \) increases, so does the number of harmful mutations that occur during the growth of the system, thus reducing the expanding component of the population. Furthermore, as the rate of discovery of beneficial mutations increases, large parts of the system are left behind and do not grow as quickly. Let us now see how we can understand the population scaling that we see in our simulations. For a subpopulation that has already obtained \( n \) beneficial mutations, the probability of a single mutation improving the affinity \( p_+ \) is the product of the fraction of sites remaining with mismatches \((d_0 - n)/L\) and the fraction of alternative values that would result in a match.
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for each such site (1/3 for our base-4 representation). Because
the subpopulation continues to grow so long as no beneficial
mutations occur, we must compute the average time \( \tau \) between
beneficial mutations to obtain the total population growth. The
cumulative probability that a beneficial mutation is found by
time \( t \) is

\[
p = 1 - (1 - p_\tau)^{m2'},
\]

where \( m2' \) is the total number of mutations made by the
subpopulation, neglecting corrections to the growth owing to
deleterious mutations.

We proceed by assuming that the rate of beneficial mu-
tations per individual cell division \( r_+ \equiv mp_+ \) is small (by
definition, \( r_+ \ll 1/3 \)). This is reasonable for both difficult
evolutionary problems (close to the optimum) and small
mutation rates. We can thus expand \( p \) to obtain \( p \approx r_+2' \). The
probability of having found a beneficial mutation approaches
1 when \( t = \tau \) for

\[
\tau(n) = -\log_2 r_+ = \log_2 \frac{3L}{m(d_0 - n)}.
\]

We now want to obtain the (average) final number of beneficial
mutations \( n_f \) in total evolution time \( T \), regardless of
whether the search was successful (\( n_f = d_0 \)) or not (\( n_f < d_0 \)).
Approximating \( n \) as a controllable variable, we obtain

\[
T = \int_0^{n_f} \tau(n)dn.
\]

We consider two limiting cases. If the optimum sequence is
easily found (\( n_f/d_0 \approx 1 \)) then we expect to quickly obtain a
single exponentially growing population. In this limit we
recover the general case of \( P \approx m^{-1} \). On the other hand, for
hard evolutionary problems, we are sufficiently far from the
optimum that we do not consistently find it and so \( n_f/d_0 \ll 1 \).
We now discuss the latter case in depth.

Substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (6), integrating, expanding the
resulting logarithms, and keeping terms to second order in
\( n_f/d_0 \), we obtain

\[
n_f^2 + 2d_0n_f Q(m) - 2d_0T \log(2) = 0,
\]

where \( Q(m) \equiv \log(3L/md_0) \). Solving for \( n_f \), we find

\[
n_f = -d_0Q \left( 1 - \frac{2T}{d_0Q^2} \right).
\]

Employing Eqs. (5) and (8), the final population of cells is

\[
P = \int_0^{n_f} 2^{\tau(n)}dn
\]

\[
= -\frac{3L}{m} \log \left[ 1 + Q \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{2T}{d_0Q^2}} \right) \right].
\]

Keeping in mind the dependence of \( Q \) on \( m \), we see that, to
first order in \( 1/\log m \),

\[
P \sim m^{-1/(1+1/\log m)}.
\]

In Fig. 2 we plot the prediction of Eq. (10) (solid line) against
the results of our simulation. The predicted curve fits the data
well with no free parameters. For comparison, the leading-
order \( 1/m \) behavior is also shown (dashed line). The effect of
the logarithmic corrections in the relevant range of mutation
rates is sufficient to change the apparent scaling exponent by
about 25%.

The difference from the standard picture that has given rise
to this logarithmic correction is that because the evolutionary
time scale is faster than the time scale of cell death in this sys-
tem, old cell populations still remain in the system even after
they have been made obsolete by the discovery of beneficial
mutations. As such, rather than having one dominant species
that contributes to the population, the resultant population
contains a memory of the evolutionary trajectory leading to
the current state in the residual subpopulations.

Now that we have a theory for the final population of
sequences, we can estimate how many different sequences are
explored by the system. Using this, we can then understand
how the rate of success of the fast macroevolutionary process
depends on mutation rate.

C. Success rate

We now consider the likelihood that the immune system
will find a sufficiently high-affinity receptor to have a response.
Beyond a certain required distance of adaptation, the system
will often fail, but the presence of multiple B-cell lines
independently evolving can greatly amplify the chance of
success. With this in mind, we examine the dependence of the
success rate on the system parameters for a cutoff in the
individual success rate of 10%. Below this point, the success
rate decays very quickly and becomes difficult to measure
accurately in our simulations. Note that the success rate we
measure concerns adaptation to a single epitope. If multiple
epitopes are available, each epitope will attract a population of
B cells. As such, each epitope can be viewed as an independent
trial. For \( N_e \) epitopes and an individual probability of success \( S \),
the overall success rate is \( 1 - (1 - S)^{N_e} \). This means that an
individual 10% success rate corresponds to a 65% system-wide
success rate if there are ten epitopes, an 88% success rate if
there are twenty epitopes, and so on.

In Fig. 3 we plot the success rate as a function of mutation
rate for different success thresholds \( D \) in the infinite selection

\[
\text{FIG. 3. Success rate curves for } L = 30, \gamma = \infty, T = 20, \text{ and } d_0 = 10 \text{ for different values of the target distance } D. \text{ The data points are success rates from simulation and the solid curves are fits of Eq. (14) to each set of data.}
\]
limit \((r = \infty)\). These data tell us the ability of the system to respond to an epitope, as well as the mutation rate that optimizes the chance of success. We would like to construct a picture that allows us to understand and predict these curves. To do this we construct a simple approximation in which there is a search space of sequences constructed by the set of mutations; all sequences explored by the system are randomly chosen from this search space. This is somewhat analogous to the shape-space approaches used to understand coverage of epitope space by antibody repertoires [33,34]. The essential picture is the following. We begin at an initial distance from the optimal sequence and seek to end up within a certain radius of that optimal sequence. If the mutation rate is large, we explore a larger space in total. However, the volume of the space is larger and the number of points we can try is smaller (because the final population decreases monotonically with the mutation rate), so the density of coverage of our search space is smaller as well. If our mutation rate is too small, however, we never search far enough to find the target region of sequence space. Figure 4 is a schematic depiction of this situation, where the shading indicates the density of coverage of each search region. Let us consider the case of a search that saturates a distance \(r\) in the sequence space so that each sequence within that distance of the origin is equally likely to be generated. For sequences of total length \(L\) sites that can take on \(q = 4\) values, the number of sequences in the space is the volume of the \(q\)-ary Hamming ball of radius \(r\) in dimension \(L\):

\[
V_q(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{L}{i} (q - 1)^i. \tag{12}
\]

A real evolutionary search of this space does not have a sharp cutoff at \(r\) mutations. Rather, the probability of finding a sequence at more than \(r\) mutations away falls off monotonically. If we consider the limit in which the saturated search volume completely encompasses the target, then the probability of a random sequence generated within the search volume also being within the target volume is proportional to \(V^{-1} = f(r)V_q(r)^{-1}\), where \(f(r)\) encodes the overlap between the expanding search volume and the target region. Let us consider the asymptotic behavior of \(f(r)\) as \(r \to 0\). When \(r = 0\), there should be no overlap (unless the initial sequence is actually inside the target zone, in which case the success rate will simply be 1), such that if we expand \(f(r)\) around \(r = 0\) the lowest-order behavior we expect to see is \(f(r) = ar + O(r^2)\). The parameter \(a\) should depend strongly on both the volume of the target region and the distance from the initial sequence to the target region.

Neglecting reversion, the number of different sequences explored by a population of final size \(P\) is \(mP\). Taking \(mP\) as the number of attempts to hit the target, the success rate is

\[
S = 1 - [1 - \alpha r V_q(r)^{-1}]^{mP(m)}, \tag{13}
\]

where \(P_f(m)\) is the final population of the system at time \(T\).

To see how \(S\) depends on the mutation rate \(m\), we must relate \(r\) to \(m\) and the total time \(T\). Dimensionally, \(m\) has units of \(L/T\), so we expect that the distance \(r\) scales as \(r = \beta mT\), where \(\beta\) is an undetermined constant of proportionality. This corresponds to a directed search, which is consistent with the strong-selection limit in which B-cell evolution operates (for contrast, a random walk would instead scale as \(r \approx \sqrt{T}\)). Substituting for \(r\) in Eq. (13) and defining \(a \equiv \alpha \beta T\) and \(b \equiv \beta T\), we obtain

\[
S(m) = 1 - [1 - am V_q(bm)^{-1}]^{mP_f(m)}. \tag{14}
\]

This approach has the problem that because \(m\) can vary continuously, \(r = bm\) need not be an integer. However, the volume of the \(q\)-ary Hamming ball is defined only for integer values of the radius. We have made an implicit approximation by replacing a sum over a distribution of mutational radii with the average value. To evaluate this expression for noninteger values of the average saturation distance \(r\) we must make some sort of continuous approximation of the function \(V_q\). To do this we first evaluate this function numerically and then construct a fifth-order polynomial fit. This continuously interpolates values of \(V_q\) without introducing maxima or minima to the function.

We now treat \(a\) and \(b\) as fitting parameters to compare this predicted form with the success rate curves we measured previously. We compare our predicted functional form Eq. (14) with the data (Fig. 3), using the measured number of unique sequences from the simulation. The predicted curves fail in cases where the success rate is very large at low mutation rates and in cases where the success rate is very small all around. Despite the fact that the theory effectively assumes that the search space is searched evenly, without a driven component that one would expect from the infinite-selection limit, the curves fit very well to the simulations for a range of parameter values. This suggests that the effect of selection in fast macroevolution is to narrow the volume of the search space.
quantitatively rather than to change the fundamental dynamics (and thus the scaling with $T$) of the search. Put another way, it would appear that the role of selection in the immune system is mainly to amplify beneficial discoveries found following the initial random exploration, as opposed to guiding the search step by step. This result contrasts with the long-time case, in which selection dominates the dynamics.

By studying the scaling of $a$ and $b$, we can understand whether the search process is ballistic (as our simple dimensional analysis predicted), diffusive, or a complex mixture of behaviors. We expect the fitting parameters $s$ and $b$ to scale linearly with time. Moreover, $a$ should change with the target radius, but $b$ should not because the factors contributing to it depend only on the dynamics of the search, not its conclusion. Because the parameter $a$ comes from the leading expansion of $f(r)$, it in particular tells us about the development of the overlap of the leading edge of the search volume to the target area. The parameter $b$, on the other hand, comes from the volume of the search space and so tells us how the search volume expands with distance.

We have extracted these fitting parameters from a number of success rate curves ($d_0 = 4, D = 2$; $d_0 = 6, D = 3$; and $d_0 = 9, D = 4$) chosen to have the largest dynamic range across the range of durations $5 \leq T \leq 25$. The parameter $b$ increases approximately linearly with $T$ as expected: Straight line fits to the data on the log-log plot yield an exponent of $0.910 \pm 0.02$ over the observed range (Fig. 5). On the other hand, the parameter $a$ changes with time much faster than anticipated (the best-fit power-law exponent is $5.48 \pm 0.03$).

The difference in the time scaling between $a$ and $b$ suggests that the shape of the leading edge of the search volume is changing with time. In essence, the $f(r)$ we proposed above is actually $f(r, T)$; a more detailed theory that captures the evolution of the leading edge is necessary to understand the $a$ scaling. Overall, though, the theory fits the simulation data remarkably well, which suggests that the volumetric picture captures the main features of the dynamics.

D. Optimal mutation rate

Let us consider the mutation rate, which maximizes the rate of success over many trials for a specific problem. This mutation rate, corresponding to the peak of the success rate curve, is the optimal mutation rate for that problem. From the simulations, we can compute the optimal mutation rate given the time $T$, initial distance $d_0$ (corresponding to the maximum number of beneficial mutations that can be achieved), and target number of beneficial mutations $D$. We determine this optimal mutation rate empirically by trying mutation rates from $10^{-2}$ per generation up to 4 per generation, in increments of $10^{-2}$. We restrict ourselves to $d_0 < L/2$, as otherwise we are in a regime where an arbitrary random string is closer to the epitope than our initial guess.

Because the dynamics of our system are dominated by the discovery of a successful sequence rather than the maintenance of a population of that sequence, the error threshold does not necessarily limit the optimal mutation rate during the initial discovery phase. This is in contrast to the usual case, in which populations with mutation rate above the error threshold are unstable, regardless of their fitness. The idea is that the system follows the brief period of mutation above the error threshold with a period of low mutation during which those sequences that were discovered may be amplified without being lost. We do, in fact, find parameter ranges in which our system tends to be above the error threshold. When the success rate is large and $D$ is small compared to $d_0$, then the optimal mutation rate lies below the error threshold, from 0.3 to 0.6 per generation (and so in some cases may, in fact, be smaller than that of the real immune system dynamics). However, as the success rate drops the optimal mutation rate rises above the error threshold (Fig. 6). Random guesses can sometimes find the target sequence, even if they hurt the total growth rate of the B-cell population. This suggests that the immune system is generally operating in the reliable regime rather than trying to bridge large evolutionary distances during the period of adaptation.

The hypermutation rate of the immune system was recently studied theoretically [35] and despite the very different perspective from which that study starts, it predicts an optimal rate of about 50% of offspring cells having a single mutation. Despite different assumptions, our model reproduces mutation rates that are consistent with this optimum over parameter ranges in which we expect the effect of short-time constraints on the evolutionary dynamics should be small. This is consistent with the idea that the optimal mutation rate is normally limited by the error threshold [17,18].

If the immune system is operating near optimal, then the number of beneficial mutations that are likely to accumulate in this case tells us how far afield memory B cells are likely to be from their initial naive progenitors. This in turn tells us the degree of adaptation that can be expected via hypermutation and determines how many mutations the immune system must be able to sustain. This tells us that the spacing between features of the sequence space is at least that large. We plot the
results in Fig. 7 for the two sequence lengths that we consider. If sufficient time is available, the immune system is able to find all of the potential beneficial mutations that are available. However, as the number of potential beneficial mutations increases, the immune system finds only a decreasing portion. The number of beneficial mutations at which this turning point occurs scales linearly with the duration of the primary immune response. In the case of an immune response of relatively long duration ($T = 25$), the discovery of up to four or five beneficial point mutations seems possible. In the case of the longer sequence ($L = 150$), very little progress is made beyond a single beneficial mutation. However, even in the most stringent case of $T = 10$, $L = 150$, at least one beneficial mutation can be found 18% of the time for a single epitope. Even a single-point mutation has been found to be responsible for large changes in affinity [36].

![FIG. 6. Optimal mutation rate versus the failure rate for $L = 150$ (top) and $L = 30$ (bottom) for times $T = 10$, 15, 20, and 25 and for various values of $d_0 = [1, 17]$ and the success threshold $D = [1, d_0 - 1]$. The horizontal line indicates the error threshold for this system. For high failure rates, the optimal mutation rate is above the error threshold.](image)

![FIG. 7. Number of beneficial mutations found by the adaptive immune system as a function of the total number of possible beneficial mutations for a sequence of length $L = 30$ (open symbols) and $L = 150$ (solid symbols). For these curves we fix the mutation rate per generation to be 0.5, which is consistent with biological values for the hypermutation rate in B cells. The immune system finds the optimum up to a point, beyond which it cannot keep up with the potential number of beneficial mutations. As the immune response duration is lengthened, this point encompasses an increasing number of mutations. The solid line corresponds to all possible mutations being found.](image)

IV. DISCUSSION

Here we investigated the dynamics of a short-time evolutionary search for a system-wide goal. In the model, the rate of phenotypic exploration is fast enough that old populations do not become extinct before new beneficial mutations are discovered. As such, the system remembers the evolutionary trajectory that led to the final state, leading to a logarithmic correction to the population count. This particular aspect is a direct consequence of the short-time scales involved in the evolutionary dynamics rather than of interference between fixation and mutation. The other factor is the collective success or failure of the search. As such, one expects the parameters of the evolutionary dynamics to be optimal from a system point of view rather than an individual point of view. In cases where the success rate is low, this leads to a relaxation of the error threshold constraint on the optimal mutation rate. However, for the majority of parameter values, the error threshold still bounds the optimal mutation rate.

The mutation rate exceeds the error threshold only when the problem is difficult (the overall success rate is small). In these cases, the optimal strategy is to generate large numbers of highly distributed sequences in hope of finding the target region, at which point the system can make a more directed search. It does not appear that the immune system is generally operating in this regime, as evidenced by observations of a hypermutation rate of 0.5 per generation averaged over the immune response [32]. However, it has been shown that a phasic schedule of mutation, with periods of high mutation punctuating intervals of mutation-free growth, is likely to provide a strong benefit relative to a constant mutation rate [19,37]. A phasic schedule is expected to be especially advantageous as the amount of time available increases, as repeated random search combined with strong
selection pressure and low mutation rate of the positively selected cells will outperform the evolutionary dynamics that result from simply having a high mutation rate.

If the immune system is utilizing intervals of high mutation beyond the error threshold, it has implications for the coevolution of an invading virus and the adaptive immune system. This situation has been studied in Ref. [24], in which the error catastrophes for the virus and the immune response place bounds on the mutation rates of both systems. Over the short time, the immune system may be able to outpace the initial adaptation of a virus by having an anomalously high mutation rate. However, if the initial attempt failed, one would expect that a system with a high mutation rate becomes unstable and is unable to maintain pressure on the evolving virus. As such, the short-time scale over which the adaptive immune response occurs may introduce an additional regime of behavior in the response to a highly adapting virus, if the immune system is able to quickly defeat the virus by outpacing it on the time scale on which the error threshold is less relevant.

Another point of interest in earlier theoretical studies has been the structure of the antibody affinity space resulting in response to some epitope. Cohn and Langman [38] used an argument about the total number of B cells in small organisms to propose the minimum necessary repertoire of naive B cells needed to respond to any plausible invader. If one considers the consequences of an adaptive response operating in the space of possible specificities, the need to densely cover all possible epitopes is strongly reduced. Instead, the blood need only contain naive B cells that are close enough to each epitope that they can evolve to affinity mature. To understand just how diverse the naive repertoire can be, we compute the number of beneficial mutations generated in our model and find it to be 4 or 5. This number sets the necessary scale of coverage of the initial sequence space as well as the minimal size of the buffer around self-epitope reactivity. Knowledge of the structure of the epitope or antibody affinity space is important for developing a conceptual framework for understanding autoimmune disorders produced by age, such as rheumatoid arthritis [39,40], and those produced by misadaptation to an invader [41,42]. The structure of this space also influences viral escape [43] and viral evolution.

Our finding that the optimal mutation rate can exceed the error threshold has implications for the design of computational optimization strategies. In difficult problems, it can be better to make large random jumps in the search space in combination with local refinement rather than to make a simple directed search. This is analogous to the phasic schedule of mutation [37] discussed above. It is also akin to the well-known fact that it is more efficient to search a high-dimensional space by drawing points at random rather than from a grid with uniform spacing—this is the basis of the Monte Carlo method [44].

To apply our results to algorithmic design, it will be important to account for the fact that such algorithms typically use a fixed population rather than one that grows or shrinks. Death and birth rates are thus less relevant than comparisons of the fitness of different members of the population. For example, in such a system, if one wished to search the space in a trans-error threshold manner it would be possible to specify that new states of lower fitness than their progenitor are not added to the population pool. This would remove much of the stability issue with trans-error threshold mutation and might push the optimal mutation rate even higher (though in this case, optimal must be measured with respect to computational time required for solution rather than generations). It would be interesting to derive general rules for identifying the optimal strategy in evolutionary dynamics with constraints, such as the short-time limit discussed here.
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